

Date: Thu, 26 May 94 04:30:06 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #223
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 26 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 223

Today's Topics:

 CW speed? When will the wingers stop ?? (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 26 May 1994 06:03:36 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!zip.eecs.umich.edu!
yeshua.marcam.com!news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!cmoore@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: CW speed? When will the wingers stop ??
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Redvers Llewellyn Davies (zcap134@ucl.ac.uk) wrote:

: P.S. Morse is my mode, but I would never have made the effort to learn it if I
: didn't have to. Hands up any CW ops who would have learned it if they didn't
: have to. I didn't think so :).

P.S. I learned Morse before I knew there was such a thing as ham radio. I
earned a boy-scout badge for doing so. I and my cousin rigged buzzers and
keys between our houses in junior high school.

73, KG7BK, CecilMoore@Delphi.com

Date: 26 May 1994 05:55:25 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!

news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!cmoore@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: CW speed? When will the wingers stop ??
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Redvers Llewellyn Davies (zcap134@ucl.ac.uk) wrote:
: Do you see my point?? It is just a case of people who cannot be
: bothered to make an effort. Red, GW0TJ0.

Hi Red, why can't the same amount of effort be made in one of the other
amateur modes... like why can't writing an FFT program be substituted for
copying code? An FFT program can copy code just as well as you or I.

I am happy to report that for my friend with ADD, the code examiners
allowed him to send instead of receive and he passed his General after
being a tech for over 20 years.

I use CW more than any other mode. But I cannot understand why that particular
original (i.e. old, obsolete) mode can be used on all amateur frequencies,
unlike any other mode, and is half of the requirement for passing the test.
I believe that proponents of keeping the code requirements are afraid
they wouldn't be able to pass a more technical exam. How 'bout if we allow
all prospective hams to substitute a commercial FCC license for the code?

Japan has proven how much the Morse code treaty is worth... zilch. Do you
refuse to talk to the Japanese because they can't copy Morse code? Then why
penalize your own fellow Americans? I can dunk a basketball and you can't...
and that makes you a second-class citizen. Substitute "receive 13 wpm" and
you will get the idea.

73, KG7BK, CecilMoore@Delphi.com

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #223
